

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket:

137808-US-NP

Application No.:

10/773,226

Filing Date:

February 9, 2004

Patent No.:

7,590,055

Issue Date:

September 15, 2009

First Named Inventor:

Jonathan Dean Segel

Title

High availability broadband connections through switching from

wireline to diverse wireless network

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Reply To Decision Dismissing Request for Recalculation of PTA in View of Wyeth

In reply to the Decision dismissing the patentee's Request for Recalculation of Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) in View of Wyeth (herein REQUEST) for the above- identified patent, patentee submits that the dismissal for ineligibility is improper.

Due to an apparent discrepancy in the data utilized by the Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) in considering the eligibility of the REQUEST, the PTO apparently deemed the REQUEST ineligible under reason E) identified in the Decision. Under reason E), a request for PTA recalculation is deemed ineligible when it is filed more than one-hundred-eighty (180) days after the grant date of the patent and is not filed within two months of a dismissal of a request for reconsideration of the patent term under 37 CFR 1.704(d).

However, patentee's REQUEST was timely filed within the applicable time frame/s. Thus, the dismissal is improper.

The grant date of the above identified patent is September 15, 2009.

Accordingly, a timely REQUEST may be filed within one-hundred-eighty days from that grant date, the last day for filing the REQUEST being Sunday March, 14, 2010.

Since the day, or the last day, for taking any action with respect to the filing of the REQUEST in the PTO falls on Saturday, Sunday, or a Federal holiday within the District of Columbia, the action may be taken, or the fee paid, on the next succeeding secular or business day. 35 USC 21.

As per 35 USC 21, patentee filed the REQUEST on March 15, 2010.

Indeed, the date information on the imaged file history on PTO PAIR indicates that the REQUEST was received by the PTO on March 15, 2010.

In addition, the REQUEST (attached download from PTO PAIR) is stamped as being received by the PTO Central Fax Center on March 15, 2010

Thus, the REQUEST was timely filed.

Unfortunately, in rendering its decision on the ineligibility of the REQUEST, the PTO has apparently relied on faulty data contained in the Transaction History record of PTO PAIR. The transaction history data (attached) for the above-identified patent indicates that a petition was entered on March 18, 2010. Were the REQUEST to have been filed on March 18, 2010, the REQUEST would have been ineligible for being untimely. It appears that the PTO relied on this erroneous data in making the Decision. Thus, the Decision dismissing the REQUEST is improper.

Patentee thus requests reconsideration of the Decision dismissing the REQUEST and again requests recalculation of the PTA under 35 USC 154(b) indicated on the above-identified patent. The patentee's sole basis for requesting the recalculation is the USPTO's Pre-Wyeth interpretation of 35 USC 154(b)(2)(a).

The improper Decision dismissing patentee's REQUEST is dated April 21, 2010. This reply is filed within one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, of the mail date of the Decision, and therefore is timely.

Respectfully submitted,

Bv

Reg. No.: 39,512

Date: Mr. 40, 2010

Docket Administrator - Room 3D-201 Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc

600-700 Mountain Avenue Murray Hill, NJ 07974-0636





United States Patent and Trademark Office

MAY 2 0 2010

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCS United States Patent and Trademark Office Attress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandda, Vizgirán 22313-1450 www.napro gov

Mail Date: 04/21/2010

KRAMER & AMADO, P.C. 1725 Duke Street, Suite 240 Alexandria, VA 22314

Applicant : Jonathan Dean Segel Patent Number: 7590055 Issue Date : 09/15/2009 Appliction No : 10/773,226 Filed

: 02/09/2004

: DECISION ON REQUEST FOR : RECALCULATION of PATENT

: TERM ADJUSTMENT IN VIEW

: OF WYETH

The Patentee's Request for Recalculation is DISMISSED.

This Request is deemed ineligible for consideration for one or more of the following reasons:

- (A). The patent for which PTA recalculation is requested is either a design or reissue application or is a reexamination proceeding;
- (B). The patent for which PTA recalculation is requested resulted from a utility or plant application filed under 35 USC 111(a) before May 29, 2000 and no CPA filed in the application on/after May 29, 2000;
- (C). The patent for which PTA recalculation is requested resulted from an international application in which the international filing date was before May 29, 2000 and no CPA filed in the application on/after May 29, 2000;
- (D). The patent for which PTA recalculation is requested issued on/after March 2, 2010;
- (E). The Request for Recalculation was filed more than 180 days after the grant date of the patent and the request was not filed within two months of a dismissal of a request for reconsideration of the of the patent term under 37 CFR 1.705(d);
- for Request Recalculation is not solely limited to USPTO pre-Wyeth interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A);

(G). A civil action was filed pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(4)(A)concerning the same patent at issue in this request.

Patentee may file a reply to this decision dismissing the Request for Recalculation. Patentee must file such reply within one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, of the mail date of the decision dismissing the Request for Recalculation. No fee is required if patentee is asserting in the reply that the dismissal for ineligibility is improper.

Patentee should use document code PET.OP if electronically filing a reply to this dismissal. If the USPTO finds that the request was improperly deemed ineligible, the USPTO will mail applicant a recalculation determination.

Patentee should be aware that in order to preserve the right to review in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia of the USPTO patent term adjustment determination, patentee must ensure that he or she also take the steps required under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(4)(A). Nothing in the request for recalculation should be construed as providing an alternative time frame for commencing a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b) (4) (A).

Any questions concerning this decision should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at 571-272-7763.

PTOL-549D (04/10)



CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 2 0 2010

PAGE 01/04

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

EAX COVER SHEET

MAR 1 5 2010

RE:

OFFICIAL PAPER

TO:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

FAX:

571-273-8300

9885824929

FROM:

Gregory J. Murgia

Reg. No. 41,209

Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc.

PHONE:

(908) 582-7109

FAX:

(908) 582-4020

DATE:

March 15, 2010

Pages (Incl. Cover):

4

Re:

Request For Recalculation Of Patent Term Adjustment In View of

Wyeth*

137808-US-NP

137856-US-NP

Patent No. 7,590,055

Case No: Case No: Case No:

137908-US-NP

Patent No. 7,590,072

Patent No. 7,590,053

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence (and any paper referred to as being transmitted therewith) is being facationle transmitted to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450.

Alexandria, VA 22313, on the date indicated below:

March 15, 2010 Date :

MICHELE M. RUTTER

PAGE 114 * RCVD AT 3/15/2010 12:38:43 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-5/16 * DMIS:2738300 * CSID:9085824020 * DURATION (mm-ss):00-58



03/15/2010 11:49

9085824020

03/15/2010 NON 10:15 FAX 613 784 8923

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

4003/003

PAGE 82/84

MAR 1 5 2010 ·

Dog Gode: PET.PTA.RCAL

Document Description: Request for Receiptingon to view of Wyeth

THA Office; U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COM Und it the Poperarch Reduction Act of 1905, his persuant can expend to exce

REQUEST FOR RECALCULATION OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT IN VIEW OF WYETH 137808-US-NP Parters Naumber: 7,590,055 Ring Date (ar 5/10) or (1) Date): February 9, 2004 September 15, 2009 Jonathan Dean Segel This: High availability broadband connections through switching from wireline to diverse wireless network PATENTEE HEREBY REQUESTS RECALCILATION OF THE PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT (PTA) UNDER 35 USC (34(b) INDICATED ON THE ABOVE-IDENTIFIED PATENT. THE PATENTEE'S SOLE BASIS FOR REQUESTING THE RECALCULATION IS THE USPTO'S PRE-WYETH INTERPRETATION OF 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). Note: This form is only for requesting a recalculation of PTA for patents issued before March 2, 2010, if the sole basis for requesting the recalculation is the USPTO's pre-Wyeth interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). See instruction Sheet on page 2 for more information. Patenties are reminded that to procurve the right to review in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia of the USPTO's patent term adjustment determination, a patenties must ensure that he or she also takes the steps required under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(3) and (b)(4) end 37 CFR 1.705 in a Smely manner. *Wyeth v., Kappos, No. 2009-1120 (Fed. Cfr., Jan. 7, 2010). March 16, 2010 Hattr. Schulerse of at the leastform or exsistences of second of the units interest of their representative follows are required in occertaines with 37 CFR 1.83 and 11.18, Places see 37 CFR 1.4(d) for the livin of the Egnative. Il numerous, Living multiple forms for more than one eignature, fortis am nationmen.

Je the public which is to the field by the USPTO to precise of an application. Conferent in the last heard to have the receive to describe, including galaxies, projecting, sept subse-ting turns the last halo are an experiments on the amount of this part requires to expen-tion Crisis between the Chilese, U.S. Poland and Transporter Drive, U.S. Deplatitization of the time Crisis between the Chilese, U.S. Poland and Transporter Drive, U.S. Deplatitization of the

e in completing the form, cut 1-800-PTQ-D190 and retext aption 2.

PAGE 24 'RCVD AT 3K5/2010 12:38:43 PM (Eastern Daylight Time] "SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-\$/16" OHIS: 2738300 "CSID: 9085824020" DURATION (mm-ss): 00-58

```
137808PAIRPrintServlet.txt
             10/773,226 HIGH AVAILABILITY BROADBAND
CONNECTIONS THROUGH
            SWITCHING FROM WIRELINE TO DIVERSE WIRELESS
NETWORK
            05-12-2010::15:55:36
            Transaction History
            DateTransaction Description
            04-21-2010 Mail-Petition Decision - Dismissed
            04-17-2010 Petition Decision - Dismissed
            03-18-2010 Petition Entered
            09-15-2009 Recordation of Patent Grant Mailed
            08-26-2009 Issue Notification Mailed
            09-15-2009 Patent Issue Date Used in PTA
Calculation
            08-14-2009 Dispatch to FDC
            08-07-2009 Issue Fee Payment Verified
            08-13-2009 Application is Considered Ready for
Issue
            08-07-2009 Issue Fee Payment Verified
            08-07-2009 Issue Fee Payment Received
            05-14-2009 Mail Notice of Allowance
            05-11-2009 Document Verification
            05-11-2009 Notice of Allowance Data Verification
Completed
            03-09-2009 Date Forwarded to Examiner
            02-10-2009 Response after Non-Final Action
            01-27-2009 Mail Non-Final Rejection
            01-21-2009 Non-Final Rejection
            11-14-2008 Date Forwarded to Examiner
            11-05-2008 Response after Non-Final Action
            10-31-2008 Mail Notice of Informal or
Non-Responsive RCE Amendment
            10-30-2008 Notice of Informal or Non-Responsive
RCE Amendment,
            10-09-2008 Date Forwarded to Examiner
            10-09-2008 Date Forwarded to Examiner
           10-06-2008 Request for Continued Examination
(RCE)
           10-09-2008 Disposal for a RCE / CPA / R129
           10-06-2008 Workflow - Request for RCE - Begin
           10-06-2008 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
           07-09-2008 Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 326)
```

137808PAIRPrintServlet.txt 07-07-2008 Final Rejection 04-23-2008 Date Forwarded to Examiner 03-28-2008 Response after Non-Final Action 02-06-2008 Mail Non-Final Rejection 02-04-2008 Non-Final Rejection 11-08-2007 Date Forwarded to Examiner 10-31-2007 Response after Non-Final Action 07-31-2007 Mail Non-Final Rejection 07-18-2007 Non-Final Rejection 06-16-2005 Information Disclosure Statement considered 06-04-2007 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU 01-18-2007 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU 09-25-2006 Miscellaneous Incoming Letter 03-21-2006 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU 02-10-2006 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU 07-21-2005 Correspondence Address Change 06-16-2005 Reference capture on IDS 06-16-2005 Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed 06-16-2005 Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed 07-01-2004 IFW TSS Processing by Tech Center Complete 07-01-2004 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU 05-06-2004 Application Return from OIPE 05-06-2004 Application Return TO OIPE 05-06-2004 Application Dispatched from OIPE 05-07-2004 Application Is Now Complete 03-17-2004 Cleared by OIPE CSR 02-19-2004 IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review 02-09-2004 Initial Exam Team nn

Close Window

- ; . . .